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Abstract

A modal analysis and near-field study for a dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited by a delta function electric field source has been made. The structure can support an infinite number of modes theoretically. For equatorial excitation only odd order modes are excited, whereas for non-equatorial excitation both even and odd order modes are excited. The variation of the amplitude coefficients both internal and external exhibit a different nature of variation with respect to the various structure parameters for different modes. The field distributions both in the \( r \) and \( \theta \) directions for non-equatorial excitation show good agreement between theory and experiment for the strongest mode.
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1. Introduction

Scharfman\(^1\) and Rheinstein\(^2\) have studied the backscatter and total scattering cross-sections of dielectric-coated spheres. Yeh\(^3\) reported studies on dielectric-coated prolate spheroids. Chatterjee et al have reported theoretical and experimental work on dielectric-coated conducting spheres excited in the hybrid mode\(^4\) and in the TM symmetric mode\(^5\). Chatterjee\(^6\) has solved the electromagnetic boundary value problem of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited by delta-function electric and magnetic field sources. Chatterjee\(^7\) has also studied the truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited in the TM symmetric mode as an antenna. Chatterjee et al\(^8\) have also studied the radiation characteristics of dielectric sphere excited in TM mode.

In the present paper, a modal analysis of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited in the symmetric transverse magnetic mode and a study of the near-field characteristics has been made. The theoretical results for the near field have been compared with the experimental results obtained for truncated dielectric-coated conducting spheres.
2. Modal analysis

2.1. Near-field components

The geometry of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere is shown in Fig. 1. The dielectric-coated conducting sphere is excited in the symmetric transverse magnetic mode. The source of excitation is assumed to be a delta-function electric field source $E_0 e^{-j\omega t}$ applied normally and uniformly over an annular ring of radius $b\sin\theta_1$ and width $(b-a)\sin\theta_1$.

![Fig. 1. Geometry of the structure.](image)

Let,

$$E_r = E_0 \cos\theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\theta} = E_0 \sin\theta_1$$

be the components of $E_0$ in the $r$ and $\theta$ directions respectively, and let

$$E_0 = \frac{V}{b \Delta\theta \sin\theta_1} \quad \text{for} \quad \theta_1 - \frac{\Delta\theta_1}{2} < \theta < \theta_1 + \frac{\Delta\theta_1}{2}$$

$$E_0 = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \theta < \theta_1 - \frac{\Delta\theta_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta > \theta_1 + \frac{\Delta\theta_1}{2}$$

where $V$ is the excitation voltage applied across the gap $b \Delta\theta_1 \sin\theta_1$ over the annular ring.

The components $E_r$ and $E_{\theta}$ can be expanded in a series of spherical harmonics as follows

$$E_r(r, \theta, \phi) = -\frac{1}{k_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) D_{nm}(r) P_n(\cos\theta) e^{-j\omega t}$$
\[ E_{\theta}\left( r, \theta, \phi \right) = -\frac{1}{k_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{en}(r) P_{n}'(\cos \theta) e^{-j\omega t} \] (4)

where \( C_{en} \) and \( D_{en} \) are constants assuming that \((b - a) \ll a \) and \( \ll b \), i.e., the dielectric-coating is very thin, we have

\[ C_{en}(a) \approx C_{en}(b) = -\frac{k_{1}(2n+1)}{2\pi n(n+1)} \int_{\phi=-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} E_{0} \sin \theta \cdot \phi \cdot P_{n}'(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \]

\[ = -\frac{V}{b} \frac{k_{1}(2n+1)}{n(n+1)} \sin \theta \cdot P_{n}'(\cos \theta) \] (5)

\[ D_{en}(a) \approx D_{en}(b) = \frac{k_{1}(2n+1)}{2\pi n(n+1)} \int_{\phi=-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} E_{0} \cos \theta \cdot \phi \cdot P_{n}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \]

\[ = -\frac{V}{b} \frac{k_{1}(2n+1)}{n(n+1)} \cos \theta \cdot P_{n}'(\cos \theta) \] (6)

The field components for the dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited in the symmetric TM mode are given by [6]

Medium I: \( a \leq r \leq b \)

\[ E_{r}^{i} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) P_{n}(\cos \theta) \left[ L_{Z_{n}}j_{n}(k_{1}r) + M_{en} y_{n}(k_{1}r) \right] e^{-j\omega t} + E_{\theta} \] (7)

\[ E_{r} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}'(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{k_{1}r} \left[ L_{Z_{n}} j_{n}(k_{1}r) \right] \]

\[ + M_{en} \left[ k_{1} r y_{n}(k_{1}r) \right] e^{-j\omega t} + E_{\theta} \] (8)

\[ H_{\phi}^{z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_{1}}{j\omega \mu_{1}} P_{n}(\cos \theta) \left[ L_{Z_{n}} j_{n}(k_{1}r) \right]

\[ + M_{en} y_{n}(k_{1}r) \] e^{-j\omega t} \] (9)

Medium II: \( r \gg b \)

\[ E_{r}^{z} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) P_{n}(\cos \theta) N_{en} \frac{1}{k_{0}r} \left[ H_{n}^{(1)}(k_{0}r) \right] e^{-j\omega t} \] (10)
\[ E_\theta^* = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^* (\cos \theta) N_{nm}^c \frac{1}{k \omega r} [k_n r h_n^{(1)} (k_n r)] \cos \omega t \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)  

\[ H_\phi^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n}{k \omega r} P_n^* (\cos \theta) N_{nm} h_n^{(1)} (k_n r) e^{-j\omega t} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( P_n^* (\cos \theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} [P_n (\cos \theta)] \), \( j_n (k_n r), y_n (k_n r) \) and \( h_n^{(1)} (k_n r) \) are the spherical Bessel, Neumann and Hankel functions of the first kind respectively. \( L_{nm} \) and \( M_{nm} \) are the internal amplitude coefficients and \( N_{nm} \) are the external amplitude coefficients.

### 2.2. Existence of even and odd order modes

The eqns. (7-12) show that the field inside and outside the dielectric-coated conducting sphere is represented as the sum of an infinite number of modes, even and odd. The existence of odd or even and odd order modes depends on the angle of excitation \( \theta_1 \), which is involved in the expressions for \( C_{on} \) and \( D_{on} \) [eqns. (5) and (6)].

When \( \theta_1 = 90^\circ \), corresponding to the case of equatorial excitation, eqn. (5) for the coefficient \( C_{on} \) becomes

\[ C_{on} (a) \simeq C_{on} (b) = \frac{-V k_1 (2n + 1)}{b 2n (n + 1)} P_n^* (O) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where,

\[
P_n^* (O) = \begin{cases} 
O & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\
\left( \begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\
\frac{1}{2} 
\end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} & \text{for } n \text{ odd.}
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Therefore taking into account the orthogonality of the modes, [Appendix I] we have the following results.

(i) For equatorial excitation, \( \theta_1 = 90^\circ \), only odd order modes exist for the dielectric-coated conducting sphere. Then, the components \( E_r, E_\theta \) and \( H_\phi \) can be represented as

\[
E_r = E_r |_{n=1} + E_r |_{n=3} + E_r |_{n=5} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (15 a)

\[
E_\theta = E_\theta |_{n=1} + E_\theta |_{n=3} + E_\theta |_{n=5} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (15 b)

\[
H_\phi = H_\phi |_{n=1} + H_\phi |_{n=3} + H_\phi |_{n=5} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (15 c)

(ii) When \( \theta_1 \neq 90^\circ \) both even and odd order modes exist on the dielectric-coated conducting sphere. The components can be represented as

\[
E_r = E_r |_{n=1} + E_r |_{n=2} + E_r |_{n=3} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (16 a)

\[
E_\theta = E_\theta |_{n=1} + E_\theta |_{n=2} + E_\theta |_{n=3} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (16 b)

\[
H_\phi = H_\phi |_{n=1} + H_\phi |_{n=2} + H_\phi |_{n=3} + \cdots \]  \hspace{1cm} (16 c)
2.3. Boundary conditions

The boundary conditions are:

(i) \( E_{q}^{b} = E_{q}^{a} \); \( H_{q}^{b} = H_{q}^{a} \) at \( r = b \)

(ii) \( E_{q}^{a} = 0 \) at \( r = a \).

Using eqns. (7-12) and the boundary conditions, we have

\[
\frac{1}{k_{1}b} \left[ L_{en} \left[ k_{1} b j_{n} \left( k_{1} b \right) \right] + M_{en} \left[ k_{1} b y_{n} \left( k_{1} b \right) \right] \right] + \frac{C_{en}}{k_{1}} \left( b \right) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \left[ C_{en} \left( b \right) \right] - \frac{k_{1}}{\nu_{1}} \left[ L_{en} j_{n} \left( k_{1} b \right) + M_{en} y_{n} \left( k_{1} b \right) \right] = \frac{k_{0}}{\nu_{0}} \left[ C_{en} \left( b \right) \right]
\]

Using eqn. (5) in the above equations, the amplitude coefficients \( L_{en}, M_{en} \) and \( N_{en} \) can be uniquely determined for each value of \( n \). The field is thus uniquely determined inside and outside the dielectric-coated conducting sphere for each value of \( n \). Hence it can be concluded that the \( TM_{en} \) modes exist for \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) etc., for this structure.

2.4. Evaluation of the amplitude coefficients

The internal and external amplitude coefficients \( L_{en}, M_{en} \) and \( N_{en} \) have been numerically evaluated for different values of \( b - a, a, \theta_{1}, \nu, \) and \( \epsilon_{r} \). The first six modes have been considered in all the above cases. For the case of equatorial excitation, the odd order modes \( TM_{01}, TM_{03} \) and \( TM_{05} \) have been considered. All the numerical computations have been carried out with the aid of the IBM 360/44 digital computer.

2.5. Analysis of the amplitude coefficients

(i) The variation of the magnitude of the amplitude coefficients with coating thickness is shown in Figs. 2-4. The cases \( a = 1.0 \) to \( 4.0 \) cm for constant \( \theta_{1} = 130^\circ \) have been studied. The variation in the case of equatorial excitation \( \theta_{1} = 90^\circ \) is shown in Figs. 5-7. The variation is found to be different in each of the cases studied. It is seen that the coefficients \( M_{en} \) show only a slight variation with coating thickness.

(ii) The variation of the magnitude of the amplitude coefficients with inner radius \( a_{i} \) is shown in Figs. 8-10, for \( \theta_{1} \neq 90^\circ \) and in Figs. 11-13 for the case of equatorial excitation. The variation has been studied for fixed values of \( b - a = 0.02, 0.08, 0.14 \)
FIG. 8 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $y_n$, WITH COATING THICKNESS FOR EQUATORIAL EXCITATION
$f = 9.375$ GHz, $r = 2.56$, $a = 30^\circ$

FIG. 9 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $y_n$, WITH INNER RADIUS 'a'
$f = 9.375$ GHz, $r = 2.56$, $a = 30^\circ$
FIG. 10 - VARIATION OF THE EXTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $N_n$, WITH INNER RADIUS $a$' 
$\lambda = 9.375 \text{ GHz}$, $E_r = 2.58$, $\theta = 90^\circ$

FIG. 11 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $I_n$, WITH INNER RADIUS $a$' FOR EQUATORIAL EXCITATION 
$\lambda = 9.375 \text{ GHz}$, $E_r = 2.58$, $\theta = 90^\circ$

FIG. 12 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $I_n$, WITH INNER RADIUS $a$' FOR EQUATORIAL EXCITATION 
$\lambda = 9.375 \text{ GHz}$, $E_r = 2.58$, $\theta = 90^\circ$

FIG. 13 - VARIATION OF THE EXTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, $N_n$, WITH INNER RADIUS $a$' FOR EQUATORIAL EXCITATION 
$\lambda = 9.375 \text{ GHz}$, $E_r = 2.58$, $\theta = 90^\circ$
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FIG 16 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, \( \text{Loo}, \) WITH ANGLE OF EXCITATION \( \beta_1 \)
\( f = 6.075 \text{ GHz}, \quad \varepsilon_r = 2.56 \)

FIG 17 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, \( \text{Loo}, \) WITH ANGLE OF EXCITATION \( \beta_1 \)
\( f = 6.075 \text{ GHz}, \quad \varepsilon_r = 2.56 \)

FIG 18 - VARIATION OF THE EXTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, \( \text{Men}, \) WITH ANGLE OF EXCITATION \( \beta_1 \)
\( a = 1.2 \text{ cm}, \quad b = 1.4 \text{ cm} \)

FIG 19 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, \( \text{Men}, \) WITH ANGLE OF EXCITATION \( \beta_1 \)
\( f = 6.075 \text{ GHz}, \quad \varepsilon_r = 2.56 \)

FIG 20 - VARIATION OF THE INTERNAL AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS, \( \text{Loo}, \) WITH FREQUENCY OF EXCITATION
and 0.2 cm for fixed values of \( a \) ranging from 1.0 to 4.0 cm. The variation is found to be different for each individual mode.

(iii) The variation of the magnitude of \( L_{om}, M_{om} \) and \( N_{om} \) with angle \( \theta_1 \) for \( \theta_1 \) ranging from 100° to 160° keeping the other parameters fixed is shown in Figs. 14 to 16. The variation for all the three coefficients is found to be oscillatory going through various maximum and minimum values for each of the individual modes for different values of \( \theta_1 \).

(iv) A study of the variation of the amplitude coefficients with the frequency of excitation for fixed values of \( a, b \) and \( \theta_1 \) has been made in the range 8.0 to 12.0 GHz. The results for the case \( \theta_1 \neq 90^\circ \) and \( \theta_1 = 90^\circ \) are shown in Figs. 17–19 and Fig. 20 respectively.

(v) The variation of the magnitude of the amplitude coefficients with the relative permittivity of the coating material is shown in Fig. 21 for the case \( \theta_1 \neq 90^\circ \) and in Fig. 22 for the case \( \theta = 90^\circ \). The values of \( \varepsilon_r \) ranging from 2.08 to 700.0 corresponding to the various dielectric materials given in Appendix II have been considered. The magnitude of the amplitude coefficients is found to be sensitive to the value of \( \varepsilon_r \). All the coefficients \( L_{om}, M_{om} \) and \( N_{om} \) have a maximum and a minimum value for a particular value of \( \varepsilon_r \).

The above considerations regarding the behaviour of the amplitude coefficients of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere can be explained on the basis of the functional dependence of the amplitude coefficients which involve the spherical Bessel, Neumann and Hankel functions (eqns. 19–21).

3. Near-field characteristics

The expressions for the field components outside the dielectric-coated conducting sphere are given in eqns. (10–12). The external amplitude coefficients \( N_{om} \) are determined from eqns. (19–21).
The variation of the field components with $\theta$ and the radial field decay of these components has been studied theoretically for the first six modes for different dielectric-coated conducting spheres at the frequency of excitation $f=9.375$ GHz. Figure 23 shows the variation with $\theta$ of the power normalized with respect to the maximum value for individual modes and Fig. 24 shows the radial field decay of the components for the individual modes.

4. Experimental measurement of the near-field characteristics

4.1. Truncated dielectric-coated conducting spheres

In order to verify the theoretical investigations, truncated dielectric-coated conducting spheres of different dimensions were made. The conducting sphere was made of brass and it was coated with perspex. The truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere is terminated in a dielectric-coated cylindrical portion which tapers to a point as a dielectric-coated conducting cone to achieve impedance matching at the feed end (Fig. 25 (i)).

To excite the symmetric TM mode on the truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere a mode transducer is used. The mode transformation is as follows:

(i) Rectangular waveguide (TE$_{10}$ mode) to
Variation of the amplitude coefficients with $\varepsilon_r$ the relative permittivity of the coating material.

(ii) Coaxial line (TEM mode) to
(iii) Truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere (TM$_{0n}$ mode).

A sketch of the truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere with the arrangement for excitation from a rectangular to coaxial transducer is shown in Fig. 25 (ii). The specifications of the structures used are given in Appendix III.

4.1.1. Experimental investigations

(a) Radial field decay: The arrangement used for measuring the radial field decay is shown in Fig. 26a. The field components $E_r$, $E_\theta$ and $H_\phi$ are measured using the appropriate probes. The probe is placed very close to the surface of the structure along an axis corresponding to $\theta = 30^\circ$, so that the even and odd order modes that exist on the structure are taken into account. A view of the structure and the probe is shown in Fig. 26b. The experimental results are given in Figs. 27-29 along with the theoretical results for comparison.

(b) Variation of the field components with $\theta$: The arrangement for the measurement of the variation of the components $E_r$, $E_\theta$ and $H_\phi$ with the angle $\theta$ is shown in

Fig. 22. Variation of the amplitude coefficients with $\varepsilon_r$ the relative permittivity of the coating material for equatorial excitation.
Fig. 23. Variation of normalized power with $\theta$ for the first six modes.

Fig. 26c. The probe is placed close to the surface of the structure. The experimental results are given in Figs. 30-32. The theoretical results are also shown for comparison.

5. Analysis

(i) Radial decay: The agreement between the theoretical curve for the strongest mode and the experimental curve is good for the components $E_r$, $E_\theta$ and $H_\phi$ (Figs. 27-29). The mode for which the external amplitude coefficient $N_{mn}$ is found to have the maximum value has been termed the strongest mode of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere.

(ii) Variation with $\theta$: It is seen that there is good agreement between the experimental results and the theoretical results obtained for the strongest mode for the components $E_r$, $E_\theta$ and $H_\phi$ in all cases (Figs. 30-32).

Fig. 24. Radial field decay for the first six modes.
An attempt has been made to make a comparative study of the experimental curve and the theoretical curve obtained by the summation of the first six modes (since the modes are orthogonal) for the near field (Figs. 33, 34).

(i) Radial field decay: As the components $H_\phi$ and $E_\theta$ have a similar functional variation, only the $E_r$ and $E_\theta$ components have been considered. The radial decay
for the $E_r$ component shows fair agreement with experiment not only for the strongest mode but also when compared with the combined modes whereas for the $E_\theta$ component the radial decay for the combined modes exhibits an oscillatory nature (Fig. 33). This may probably be explained on the basis of the nature of the functions involved, i.e.,

$$E_\theta = \sum_n \text{const.} \ G_n(\cos \theta) \frac{1}{k_{or}} [k_{or} l_n^{(2)}(k_{or})]^*. $$
(ii) Variation with $\theta$ : The variation of the near-field components with $\theta$ has been measured by placing the appropriate probe very close to the surface of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere. The experimental curve, the theoretical curve for the strongest mode only and for the combined modes for the $E_r$ and $E_\theta$ components are given in Fig. 34. It is seen that there is good agreement between the experimental curve and the theoretical curve for the strongest mode.

The comparative study of the theoretical and experimental results shows that the agreement is better in the case of the larger dielectric-coated conducting spheres than in the case of the smaller dielectric-coated conducting spheres (Figs. 27-29). Since the coating thickness has been assumed to be small, by large dielectric-coated conducting spheres it is meant large values of $a$. Since the mode transducer used is the same, it is obvious that in the case of the smaller dielectric-coated conducting spheres the probe is closer to the discontinuity present at the junction between the dielectric-coated con-
DIELECTRIC-COATED CONDUCTING SPHERE

ducting sphere and the mouth of the mode transducer, compared to the larger dielectric-coated conducting sphere. Hence it is possible that the direct radiation due to the discontinuity interferes with the near field of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere sampled by the probe. In the case of the larger dielectric-coated sphere, however, the probe is at a comparatively larger distance from the junction and the effect of the discontinuity field may not be appreciable. It may therefore be said that the field samples mostly the near field of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere in the case of the larger dielectric-coated conducting spheres without being influenced much by the interfering field.

Since the rate of decay of the field in the $r$ direction in the case of the smaller dielectric-coated conducting spheres is very fast, the probe output becomes very small when it is placed away from the surface of the dielectric-coated conducting sphere. Hence no further study of the interfering field in the case of the smaller dielectric-coated conducting sphere could be made with the available equipment.

6. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the above investigations:

(i) The dielectric-coated conducting sphere can be excited in an infinite number of symmetric TM modes by a delta-function electric field source.

(ii) The existence of even and odd order or odd order modes only is determined by the angle of excitation $\theta_1$.
(iii) The internal and external amplitude coefficients are sensitive to the various structure parameters to varying extents.

(iv) The truncated dielectric-coated conducting sphere excited by a coaxial line has very nearly the same field configuration as one of the $TM_{n0}$ modes.

(v) The theoretical field distributions obtained in the case of a complete dielectric-coated conducting sphere and the measured near field curves at a frequency of 9.375 GHz agree fairly well.
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Appendix I
Orthogonality of the modes

The condition for mode orthogonality may be stated mathematically as

\[ \int f(r, \theta, \phi) g(r, \theta, \phi) \, dr = 0. \]

where \( f \) and \( g \) represent the functional variation of two modes.

For the dielectric-coated conducting sphere, considering any two modes, \( TM_n \) and \( TM_{n'} \), where \( n \neq n' \), we have for the magnetic field component \( H_\theta \),

\[ I = 2\pi \left[ \frac{k_2}{i\omega \mu_n} \right]^2 \int \left[ L_{en} j_n (k_1 r) + M_{en} y_n (k_1 r) \right] \]

\[ \left[ L_{en'} j_{n'} (k_1 r) + M_{en'} y_{n'} (k_1 r) \right] \, r \, dr \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} P_n'(\cos \theta) \times P_{n'}'(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta. \]
Using the property of Legendre polynomials that
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} P_n(x) P_m(x) \, dx = 0 \text{ for } n \neq m, \]
we have \( I = 0 \).

Hence the \( TM_{mn} \) and \( TM_{m'n'} \) modes are orthogonal. The orthogonality can be proved similarly in the case of the \( E_r \) and \( E_\theta \) components.

### Appendix II

**Dielectric material considered for the theoretical study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dielectric material</th>
<th>Relative permittivity</th>
<th>Loss tangent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycalex 400</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium dioxide</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium titanate</td>
<td>312.5</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium titanate</td>
<td>700.0</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix III

**Specifications of the truncated dielectric coated conducting spheres**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dielectric material</th>
<th>Inner radius ( a ) cm</th>
<th>Outer radius ( b ) cm</th>
<th>Angle of excitation ( \theta_2 ) degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>114.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycalex 400</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>135.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>145.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium dioxide</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>154.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium titanate</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>156.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium titanate</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>159.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>