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ABSTRACT

Studied in this paper are some control problems which can conveniently utilize the solution of the linear algebraic equation \( AX + XB = -Q \). These problems include, in addition to the already known ones,

(i) Evaluation of ISE (Integral of the squared error) for a linear time invariant system with a single input of the polynomial type.

(ii) Determination of ISE of a model following system.

(iii) Simplification of large dynamic systems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the solution of the linear algebraic equation

\[
AX + XB = -Q
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are square matrices is useful in

(a) the study of stability of a dynamical system [1] (with \( B = A' \))

(b) the construction of observers for linear time invariant multivariable systems [2, 3]

(c) studying suboptimal problems by aggregation [4, 5]

(d) the pole assignment of multi-input systems [6].

References [3] and [5] give methods of obtaining the explicit solution for (1). A survey of various methods of solving (1) can be found in [7].

In this paper we are concerned with some new applications of the solution of (1). It is well known [11] that for a unique solution \( X \) to exist when
Q is not a null matrix, $A$ and $-B$ should not have common eigenvalues. Further if the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$ are stipulated to have negative real parts, then $X$ is given by

$$X = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{At} Q e^{Bt} \, dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

But evaluation of $X$ via (2) is a formidable task. Computationally it would be simpler to solve for $X$ using one of the methods suggested in [3], [5] and [7]. This advantage is availed in discovering new applications for $X$ in this paper.

This paper is organised as follows. In section 2.1, we are concerned with the determination of ISE for polynomial type of inputs, more specifically for step, ramp and parabolic inputs. In section 2.2, we consider the evaluation of an improved error criterion essentially for the same inputs considered in section 2.1. In section 3, the evaluation of ISE for a model following system is considered. In section 4, the application of (2) to the simplification of large dynamical systems is dealt with.

2.1. Determination of ISE-polynomial input.—Consider the system described by

$$dx(t)/dt = Ax(t) + bu(t)$$

$$y(t) = hx(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $x$ is $(nX1)$ state vector, $u$ and $y$ are scalar input and output respectively. $A, b$ and $h$ are matrices of appropriate dimensions. In what follows, we are concerned only with inputs which are polynomials in time $t$.

Let

$$e(t) = u(t) - y(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then for a stable system (eigenvalues with negative real parts)

$$\text{ISE} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2}(t) \, dt$$

is a measure of the quality of the transient response of the system. For the system (3), for zero initial state, it is known that

$$y(t) = \int_{0}^{t} he^{A(t-x)} bu(x) \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
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From (5) it is easy to derive explicit expressions when \( u(t) \) is a unit step, ramp or parabolic inputs. If \( u(t) \) is a unit step input, then (5) yields

\[
y(t) = -hA^{-1}b + he^{At}A^{-1}b
\]

(6)

when

\[
u(t) = t,
\]

(5) takes the form

\[
y(t) = hA^{-2}e^{At}b - hA^{-2}b - hA^{-1}bt
\]

(7)

when

\[
u(t) = \frac{t^2}{2!},
\]

we get

\[
y(t) = hA^{-3}e^{At}b - hA^{-3}b - hA^{-2}bt - hA^{-1}b\frac{t^2}{2}!
\]

(8)

Equations (6), (7) and (8) are derived under the assumption that \( A^{-1} \) exists. This is true since \( A \) is a strictly stable matrix and does not have any poles at the origin or on the imaginary axis.

If the input is a polynomial, input of the type \( u(t) = 1 + t + (t^2/2!) \) then by superposition

\[
y(t) = (hA^{-3}e^{At}b + hA^{-2}e^{At}b + hA^{-1}e^{At}b) - hA^{-3}b - hA^{-2}b(1 + t) - hA^{-1}b(1 + t + t^2/2!).
\]

(9)

From (4) and (6) to (9), it is easy to derive expressions for \( e(t) \) when inputs are as described above. From these expressions it is clear that for the ISE to be finite we require that

\[
hA^{-1}b = -1
\]

\[
hA^{-2}b = 0
\]

\[
hA^{-3}b = 0.
\]

(10)

Under these restrictions we get the following expressions for a step, ramp, parabolic and a polynomial input respectively.

\[
e(t) = -hA^{-1}e^{At}b
\]

(11)

\[
e(t) = -hA^{-2}e^{At}b
\]

(12)

\[
e(t) = -hA^{-3}e^{At}b
\]

(13)

\[
\varphi(t) = -(hA^{-3} + hA^{-2} + hA^{-1})e^{At}b,
\]

(14)
Under these conditions A. A. Krasovskii [8] developed a formula for calculating ISE for step inputs. Krasovskii's method involves expansion of $E(s)$ as

$$E(s) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} U_k s^{k-1}$$

and evaluation of $N$-th order determinants formed from $V_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. We present here an alternate method utilising the solution of the equation (1).

From (11), it is true that for step inputs

$$ISE = \int_0^\infty e^2(t) \, dt = \int_0^\infty (hA^{-1} e^t bb' e^{A't} A^{-1} h') \, dt$$

$$hA^{-1} XA^{-1} h'$$

where $X = \int_0^\infty e^t bb' e^{A't} \, dt$ is the well-known solution of

$$AX + XA' = - bb'.$$

In a similar way when the inputs are ramp, parabolic and polynomial inputs the ISE can be expressed utilising $X$, the solution of (16). More explicitly

$$ISE = hA^{-2} XA^{-2} h', \quad (u(t) = t)$$

$$ISE = hA^{-3} XA^{-3} h', \quad (u(t) = t^2/2!)$$

$$ISE = (hA^{-3} + hA^{-2} + hA^{-1}) X (A^{-3} h' + A^{-2} h' + A^{-1} h')$$

$$(u(t) = 1 + t + t^2/2!).$$

2.2. Improved error criterion.—In many cases, the minimisation of the ISE may result in systems with excessively strong oscillations. In such cases, it is desirable to consider the criterion

$$I_k = \int_0^\infty \left[ e^2(t) + T \left( \frac{de}{dt} \right)^2 \right] \, dt$$

where $T$ is a specified constant [8].

The procedure described in 2.1 may be extended to evaluate $I_k$ when the inputs are of the polynomial type. Here we shall consider only step and ramp inputs. For other types of polynomial inputs the application is straightforward.
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To evaluate $I_k$, we need find only $\int_0^\infty (de/dt)^2 \, dt$ since $\int_0^\infty e^2(t) \, dt$ is evaluated already in §2.1. When $u(t)$ is a unit step, for $t > 0$, using (4) we get

$$\frac{de}{dt} = -dy/dt = -hAx - hb = -he^{At}b. \quad (21)$$

When $u(t)$ is a unit ramp, for $t > 0$, we get

$$\frac{de}{dt} = 1 - (dy/dt) = 1 - hAx - hbt = -hA^{-1}e^{At}b \text{ using (10).} \quad (22)$$

Along the same lines of §2.1 it is easy to see that

$$I_k = hA^{-1}X A^{-1'} h' + hXh' \ [u(t) = \text{step-input}] \quad (23)$$

and

$$I_k = hA^{-2}X A^{-2'} h' + hA^{-1}X A^{-1'} h' \ [u(t) = t] \quad (24)$$

where $X$ is the solution of (16).

From §2.1 and 2.2 it is interesting to note that the evaluation of the ISE or $I_k$ involves only the solution $X$ of (16) for polynomial type of inputs.

3. Model Following System

There are situations when we stipulate that the output of the system (3) should follow the output of another system called the Model described by

$$\frac{dx_a}{dt} = A_{a} x_a + b_{a} u$$

$$y_a = h_{a} x_a$$

when both (3) and (25) are excited by the same input. In such cases we need to evaluate

$$I_a = \int_0^\infty [y(t) - y_a(t)] \, dt. \quad (26)$$

Augmenting (3) with (25) we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dx}{dt} \\ \frac{dx_a}{dt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ x_a(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b_a \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$= A_{a} x_a + b_{a} u \quad (27)$$

$$e(t) = y - y_a = [h - h_d] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x_a \end{bmatrix} = h_a x_a$$
when \( u(t) \) is a step-input, using (6), we get
\[
e(t) = -h_aA_a^{-1}b_a + h_aA_a^{-1}e^{A_at}b_a'.
\]

For \( I_d \) to be finite we require that \( h_aA_a^{-1}b_a = 0 \). Under this condition
\[I_d = h_aA_a^{-1}X A_a^{-1'}h_a',\]

where \( X \) is the solution of
\[A_aX + XA_a' = -bab'.\]

Similar expressions can be derived in an analogous way when the input is any other polynomial type.

4.1. A brief summary of a method of simplification of large dynamic systems.—The problem of simplifying linear dynamical systems was the subject of a number of papers by Chidambara [9] and Davison [10]. The problem can be stated as follows [9]:

Given an exact \( p \)-input, \( q \)-output \( n \)-th order linear time invariant system
\[
dx/dt = Jx + Gu
\]
\[y = Kx \tag{28}\]

where \( J \) is the Jordan matrix; to find a simplified model of order \( l \leq n \) given by
\[
z = Fz + Du
\]
\[y = Ez \tag{29}\]

such that

(i) the simplified model (29) retains \( l \) dominant eigenvalues of the system (28),

(ii) the model amplitudes should be such that the integral of the squared error between the exact and simplified models is minimum for a step input.

(iii) the initial and final values of the transient response of the simplified model under the influence of a polynomial input up to second degree in time shall show no error when compared with the corresponding exact response.
To solve the above problem, rewrite (28) [10]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_p & 0 \\
0 & J_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\
x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\
G_2 \end{bmatrix} \] (30)

\[y(t) = [K_1K_2] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\
x_2 \end{bmatrix}\]

where \( J_p \) contains \( l \) predominant eigenvalues and \( J_n \) the remaining \((n - l)\) eigenvalues. Following [9], assume the simplified model as
\[z = J_p z + G_1 u\]
\[y^* = (K_1 + Q) z.\] (31)

In (31) \( Q \) has to be selected such that for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, q; \int_0^\infty (\epsilon_i' \epsilon_i) \) dt is minimum where

\[\epsilon_i = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i1} \\
\epsilon_{i2} \\
\vdots \\
\epsilon_{ip} \end{bmatrix}\]

where \( \epsilon_{ij} \) is the error in the \( i \)-th output for a step input at the \( j \)-th input node.

The complete solution to this problem was given by Chidambara [9]. Let \( q_1' \) be the \( i \)-th row of \( Q \). Then \( Q \) is determined from
\[\begin{bmatrix} M & N' \\
N & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\
\gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_i \\
w_i \end{bmatrix}\] (32)

where \( \gamma_1 \) are Lagrange multipliers, and
\[M = \int_0^\infty (J_p^{-1} e^{s't} G_1 G_1' e^{s't} J_p^{-1}) \] dt (33)
\[N = G_1' \begin{bmatrix} J_p^{-1} \\
J_p^{-2} \\
J_p^{-3} \end{bmatrix}\]
\[v_i = \int_0^\infty (J_p^{-1} e^{s't} G_1 G_2' e^{s't} J_p^{-1} K_{2, i}) \] dt (34)
\[w_i = G_2' \begin{bmatrix} J_n^{-1} \\
J_n^{-2} \\
J_n^{-3} \end{bmatrix} K_{2, i}\]
where $K_{2,i}$ is the $i$-th column of $K_2'$.

Also if

$$
\mu_i = \min_0^\infty \epsilon_i' \epsilon_i \, dt
$$

then

$$
\mu_i = K_{2,i} M_2 K_{2,i}' + q_i' M q_i - 2 V_1' q_i
$$

where

$$
M_2 = \int_0^\infty (J_n^{-1} e^{t J_n} G_1' G_1 e^{-t J_n} J_n^{-1}) \, dt
$$

$$
\mu = \max_{i=1,2,...,q} \mu_i
$$

determines the goodness of the model.

4.2. Application of the solution of (1).—From (32), one can evaluate for $q_i$'s only if $M, v_i$ are known. To determine the goodness of the simplified model one needs $M_2$. As was done in the previous section, one can express $M, v_i$ and $M_2$ as solutions of the algebraic equation (1) more specifically

$$
M = J_p^{-1} X J_p^{-1}'
$$

where $X$ is the solution of

$$
J_p X + X J_p' = - G_1 G_1'
$$

$$
v_i = J_p^{-1} Y J_n^{-1} K_{2,i}
$$

where $Y$ is the solution of

$$
J_p Y + Y J_n' = - G_1 G_2'
$$

and finally

$$
M_2 = J_n^{-1} Z J_n^{-1}'
$$

where $Z$ is the solution of

$$
J_n Z + Z J_n' = - G_2 G_2'.
$$

Combining (35), (36) and (37), one can write

$$
J a + a J' = G G'
$$
Solution of the equation $AX + XB = -Q$

where

$$J = \text{diag} \{ J_p, J_n \}$$

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the calculation of the matrices $M$, $v_1$ and $M_2$ by evaluating the integrals is converted to solving the algebraic equation (38).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Some problems which were being solved by involved and cumbersome computations are shown to be capable of being solved conveniently by the application of the solution of linear algebraic equation $AX + XB = -Q$.
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