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Abstract

In this paper, two algorithms are presented to solve integer linear fractional programming problems. The first algorithm is an extension of Bitran and Novaes' method to solve a linear fractional program and the second algorithm is a refinement of the first. A numerical example is worked out by using both the algorithms, to illustrate the methods.
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1. Introduction

In this paper two algorithms are presented for solving integer linear fractional programming problems. Their validity and convergence are also proved.

The first algorithm is obtained by modifying the algorithm given by Bitran and Novaes for a linear fractional programming problem, to the integer case. The proofs of the theorems given here are very much simpler compared to the proofs given by Bitran and Novaes.

The second algorithm is a refinement of the first algorithm and it employs a simple check to decide whether the optimal solution, obtained at a particular iteration, to the parametric integer programming problem, is an optimal solution to the given integer fractional program. The second algorithm reduces by one, the number of iterations required to solve the given integer fractional program by the first algorithm. The same refinement can also be applied to the algorithm given by Bitran and Novaes to solve the linear fractional programming problem.

Both the algorithms are based on the parametric approach to the fractional programming problem given by Dinkelbach and Jagannathan. To illustrate the algorithms a numerical example is solved using all the three algorithms.
2. Integer fractional programming problem

Consider the following integer linear fractional programming problem

Maximise \((C'X + a)/(d'X + \beta)\) \hspace{1cm} (1)

subject to \(AX = b, X \geq 0\) \hspace{1cm} (2)

and \(X\) is integral \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \(A\) is an \((m \times n)\) matrix, \(C, d, X\) are \((n \times 1)\) vectors, \(b\) is an \((m \times 1)\) vector, \(a, \beta\) are scalars and the superscript \(t\) denotes the transpose. Denote the problem given by the expressions (1), (2) and (3) as problem \(P\).

Let

\[ S = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n | AX = b, X \geq 0, X\text{ integral} \}. \]

It is assumed that (1) \(S\) is nonempty and bounded and (2) \(d'X + \beta > 0\) for all \(X\) in \(S\).

For every real number \(\lambda\), define the subsidiary integer programming problem \(P(\lambda)\) as follows.

Maximise \((C'X + a) - \lambda(d'X + \beta)\) \hspace{1cm} (4)

subject to (2) and (3).

\(F(\lambda)\) denotes the optimal value of the problem \(P(\lambda)\).

3. Algorithm 1

Step 1 : Let \(X_*\) be any feasible solution of \(P\). Set \(i = 0\).

Step 2 : Set \(\lambda_{i+1} = (C'X_i + a)/(d'X_i + \beta)\). Solve the integer programming problem \(P(\lambda_{i+1})\). If \(X_i\) is an optimal solution of \(P(\lambda_{i+1})\), then \(X_i\) is an optimal solution of \(P\). Otherwise let \(X_{i+1}\) be an optimal solution of \(P(\lambda_{i+1})\). Set \(i = i + 1\) and repeat step 2.

3.1. Validity and convergence of the algorithm

Theorem 1 : \(\lambda_i\) is a strictly increasing sequence.

Proof : \((C'X_i + a) - \lambda_{i+1}(d'X_i + \beta) = 0\). \hspace{1cm} (5)

Since \(X_i\) is not an optimal solution of \(P(\lambda_{i+1})\) and \(X_{i+1}\) is an optimal solution of \(P(\lambda_{i+1})\),

\[(C'X_{i+1} + a) - \lambda_{i+1}(d'X_{i+1} + \beta) > 0.\] (6)
Hence
\[ \lambda_{i+2} = (C' X_{i+1} + a)/(d' X_{i+1} + \beta) > \lambda_{i+1}. \]

**Theorem 2:** If \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P(\lambda_{i+1}) \), then \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P \).

**Proof:** Since \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P(\lambda_{i+1}) \), it follows from (5) that
\[ (C' X + a) - \lambda_{i+1} (d' X + \beta) \leq 0 \text{ for all } X \text{ in } S \]

Hence
\[ (C' X + a)/(d' X + \beta) \leq (C' X_i + a)/(d' X_i + \beta) \text{ for all } X \text{ in } S. \]

Therefore \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P \).

**Theorem 3:** The algorithm converges in a finite number of steps.

**Proof:** Since \( \lambda_i \) is a strictly increasing sequence, the \( X_i \) do not repeat themselves until termination. Since the number of integer solutions of \( P \) is finite, \( X_i = X_{i+1} \) for some \( i \) and hence the algorithm terminates.

**Example 1:** Consider the following problem \( P_1 \).

Maximise \( (7x_1 + 9x_2 + 3)/(3x_1 + 4x_2 + 2) \) subject to
\[ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 6 \]
\[ 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_4 = 5 \]
\[ x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and integral} \]

\( X_e = (0, 0, 6, 5) \) is a feasible solution of \( P_1 \)

Set \( \lambda_1 = 3/2 \). Consider the problem \( P(\lambda_1) \)

Maximise \( (5/2) x_1 + 3x_2 \) subject to (9), (10) and (11)

\( X_1 = (0, 2, 0, 1) \) solves \( P(\lambda_1) \). Set \( \lambda_2 = 21/10 \)

Consider the problem \( P(\lambda_2) \)

Maximise \( (7/10) x_1 + (6/10) x_2 - (12/10) \) subject to (9), (10) and (11).
\( X_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0) \) solves \( P(\lambda_2) \). Set \( \lambda_3 = 19/9 \)

Consider the problem \( P(\lambda_3) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Maximise} & \quad (6/9) x_1 + (5/9) x_2 - (11/9) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad (9), (10) \text{ and } (11)
\end{align*}
\]

\( X_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0) \) solves the problem \( P(\lambda_3) \).

Hence the algorithm terminates and \( X_2 \) is an optimal solution of \( P_1 \).

4. Algorithm 2

The first algorithm terminates when \( X_t \) is an optimal solution of \( P(\lambda_{t+1}) \) for some \( i \). In Algorithm 1, even after finding the optimal solution \( X_t \), we have to solve one more integer program before deciding that \( X_t \) is an optimal solution of \( P \). If we had some means of checking the optimality of \( X_t \) at each iteration, we can stop the algorithm at the last but one iteration. Such a check is provided in algorithm 2. All the quantities required for the check can be easily computed from the final simplex tableau at each iteration.

At each iteration, we solve the problem \( P(\lambda) \) for some value of \( \lambda \) by Gomory method (see Hadley\(^5\)) and let \( \bar{X} \) be the optimal solution of \( P(\lambda) \). Let \( B \) be the basis matrix corresponding to the optimal solution \( \bar{X} \) [The matrix \( B \) also includes the rows corresponding to the cuts introduced by Gomory method in solving \( P(\lambda) \)]. Let

\[
Z_j^1 = C_i^t B^{-1} a_j, \quad Z_j^2 = d_n^t B^{-1} a_j
\]

for those columns \( a_j \) of \( A \) not in the basis \( B \)

(The columns of \( A \) also contain the columns corresponding to the slack variables corresponding to the Gomory cuts) (see Hadley\(^6\)).

\textit{Algorithm 2 :}

\textbf{Step 1 :} Let \( X_0 \) be any feasible solution of \( P \). Set \( i = 0 \).

\textbf{Step 2 :} Set \( \lambda_{i+1} = (C_i^t X_t + \alpha)/(d_n^t X_t + \beta) \).

Solve the problem \( P(\lambda_{i+1}) \) by Gomory method.

If \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P(\lambda_{i+1}) \), then \( X_i \) is an optimal solution of \( P \). Otherwise let \( X_{i+1} \) be an optimal solution of \( P(\lambda_{i+1}) \). Go to step 3.
Step 3: Set $\bar{X} = X_{i+1}$ and $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_{i+1}$.

Compute the quantities $Z_i^j - C_j$ and $Z_i^d - d_j$ corresponding to the non-basic variables $x_j$ from the final simplex tableau for the problem $P(\lambda)$.

$$\mu = \min \left( \frac{Z_i^j - C_j}{Z_i^d - d_j} \right) > 0$$

If $Z_i^d - d_j \leq 0$ for every $j$, take $\mu = +\infty$.

Step 4: (a) If $\mu = +\infty$, then $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of the problem $P$. (b) If $\mu \neq +\infty$, compute

$$F(\mu) = (C^t \bar{X} + a) - \mu (d^t \bar{X} + \beta).$$

If $F(\mu) \leq 0$, then $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of the problem $P$. (c) If $F(\mu) > 0$, set $i = i + 1$ and go to step 2.

4.1. Validity and convergence of algorithm 2

The convergence of this algorithm is proved in Section 3. The validity of the algorithm depends on the following results.

Theorem 4: $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution and $\bar{\lambda}$, the optimal value of $P$ if and only if $F(\lambda) = 0$ and $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P(\lambda)$.

Theorem 5: For every $X$ in $S$, the set $A(X) = \{ \lambda \in R \mid X \text{ is an optimal solution of } P(\lambda) \}$ is a convex subset of $R$ and hence is an interval in $R$.

Theorem 6: In each interval $A(X)$, $F(\lambda)$ is a piecewise linear function of $\lambda$ and hence is a continuous function of $\lambda$.

Theorem 7: $F(\lambda)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $\lambda$ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} F(\lambda) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \to -\infty} F(\lambda) = +\infty.$$

Theorem 8: If there exists $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ such that $F(\lambda_1) > 0$ and $F(\lambda_2) < 0$ and both $P(\lambda_1)$ and $P(\lambda_2)$ have same optimal solution $\bar{X}$, then $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P$.

Proof of validity of the algorithm

If $X_i$ is an optimal solution of $P(\lambda_{i+1})$, by Theorem 2, $X_i$ is an optimal solution of $P$. Since

$$\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_{i+1} = (C^t X_i + a)/(d^t X_i + \beta),$$

$$(C^t X_i + a) - \bar{\lambda} (d^t X_i + \beta) = 0.$$
Hence $F(\bar{\lambda}) > 0$. Since $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P(\bar{\lambda})$

\[(Z_j^i - C_j) - \bar{\lambda}(Z_j^i - d_j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } j.\]  

(13)

For $\lambda_1 \geq \bar{\lambda}$, $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P(\lambda_1)$ if

\[(Z_j^i - C_j) - \lambda_1(Z_j^i - d_j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } j.\]  

(14)

(14) is automatically satisfied if $Z_j^i - d_j \leq 0$ for all $j$.

(14) is satisfied only when

\[\frac{Z_j^i - C_j}{Z_j^i - d_j} \geq \lambda_1 \text{ if } Z_j^i - d_j > 0\]  

(15)

(i.e.), only when $\mu \geq \lambda_1$ where $\mu$ is defined as in (12).

Hence $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P(\lambda_1)$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda_1 \leq \mu$. If $\mu = +\infty$, then by continuity of $F$ and Theorem 7, it follows that there exists some $\lambda_1 > \lambda$ such that $F(\lambda_1) = 0$ and $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P(\lambda_1)$. Hence $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P$. If $F(\mu) \leq 0$, then it follows from Theorem 8, that $\bar{X}$ is an optimal solution of $P$.

Example 2: Consider the Problem $P_1$ given in Example 1. $X_0 = (0, 0, 6, 5)$ is a feasible solution of $P_1$. Set $\lambda_1 = 3/2$. $X_1 = (0, 2, 0, 1)$ solves $P(\lambda_1)$. The final simplex tableau for the problem $P(\lambda_2)$ is given in Table I. Third row in Table I corresponds to the Gomory cut introduced in solving $P(\lambda_1)$ and $x_5$ is the slack variable corresponding to the cut.

$\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_1 = 3/2$. \(\bar{X} = X_1 = (0, 2, 0, 1).\)

$C_B = (9, 7, 0)$. \(d_B = (4, 3, 0).\)

$Z_3^1 - C_3 = 2$, \(Z_3^1 - d_3 = 1\).

$Z_5^1 - C_5 = 1$, \(Z_5^1 - d_5 = 1/3\)

$\mu = \min \{2, 3\} = 2$.

$F(\bar{\lambda}) = 6 > 0$ and $F(\mu) = 1 > 0$.

Set $\lambda_2 = 21/10$. $X_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ solves $P(\lambda_2)$.

The final simplex tableau corresponding to $P(\lambda_2)$ is given in Table II. Third row in Table II corresponds to the Gomory cut introduced in solving $P(\lambda_2)$ and $x_5$ is the slack variable corresponding to the cut.

$\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_2 = 21/10$. \(\bar{X} = X_2 = (1, 1, 1, 0).\)

$C_B = (9, 7, 0)$, \(d_B = (4, 3, 0)\).
Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>Value of basic variables</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2/3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-5/3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>Value of basic variables</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-2/3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-5/3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$Z_1^1 - C_4 = 2, \quad Z_1^2 - d_4 = -1$$

$$Z_2^1 - C_5 = 13/3, \quad Z_2^2 - d_5 = 2.$$  

$$\mu = 13/6.$$  

$$F(\lambda) = 1/10 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(\mu) = -3/6 < 0.$$  

Hence $\bar{X} = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ is an optimal solution of $P$.

*Note*: Using the first algorithm, we had to solve three integer programs, whereas with the second one two integer programs sufficed.
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